Checklist for Submitting Grandfathered Cryptosporidium Data	
	Cover letter. Does the data package include a signed cover letter certifying that the data represent the plant's current source water and that all source water Cryptosporidium monitoring results collected during the LT2 rule monitoring period are included in the package?
	Sampling schedule. Does the data package include a sample collection schedule established before beginning monitoring?
	Additional documentation. Have you included any additional documentation required regarding resampling, the use of presedimentation, and/or off stream storage during routine plant operation?
	List of samples. Does the data package include a list of the field and matrix spike (MS) samples submitted in the data package, identified by sample ID and collection date?
	Number of field samples. Does the data package include at least 24 field samples collected over 2 years?
	Completeness of results. Are all applicable field sample results from the monitoring period included?
	MS sample results. Is the number of MS results submitted equivalent to at least 5% of the number of field sample results?
	Sample data. Are the minimum data elements (specified in Section 7.2.1 of the LT2 rule source water monitoring guidance manaual) provided for each field and MS sample?
	Sample volumes. Are the volume analyzed for all field samples at least 10 L? For samples in which less than 10 L was examined, were at least 2 mL of packed pellet volume analyzed or did two filters clog?
	Quality control (QC) certification. Does the data package include a letter from the laboratory certifying that all method-required QC requirements were acceptable for every field and MS sample submitted with the package?
	Detailed quality control information. If bench sheets and report forms with QC information are included, rather than a laboratory letter, are the following requirements met? \Box Sample temperature requirements. Was the temperature of all monitoring samples between 0°C and 8°C upon receipt?
	Ongoing precision and recovery (OPR) recovery. Do All OPR sample results meet QC acceptance criteria of the method version used for the analysis?
	□ OPR frequency. Is an acceptable OPR sample associated with every field sample?
	 Method blank results. Are all method blank sample results acceptable? Method blank frequency. Is an acceptable method blank sample associated with every
	field sample?
	□ Spike levels. Were spike levels of 500 oocysts or less used for all OPR and MS samples? □ Holding times. Were all holding times met for all field and QC samples for composite samples, holding times start when collection of the first sample begins?
	☐ Staining control frequency. Are positive and negative staining controls associated with all field and QC samples?
	□ Staining control results. Were positive and negative staining controls acceptable for all field and QC samples?

Draft June 2003